

Health Education: Theory, Research and Practice (CHED 310)

Aukje Lamonica, Assistant Professor

Department of Exercise Science and Sport

Community Health Education Major

Project Overview:

This course is taught annually and is one of the core courses of Community Health Education. Students take this class during their junior year at the University of Scranton.

Specific Course Literacy Objectives:

After students have successfully completed this course they will be able to:

- x Select a suitable research topic with the appropriate breadth

- x

Different Types of Articles

Students (3 in each group) were given a number of different articles (a review article, a peer reviewed research article, an editorial, a letter, a newspaper article, etc.) and were asked to characterize each piece of writing. They were asked to identify scholarly work as opposed to popular writing.

This exercise was designed to help students identify articles for their “Theory Article” and their “Final Project” assignment. For both assignments, students were required to find peer reviewed, scholarly work.

Visit to the Library

As in the previous year, I took this class for a library session with Bonnie Oldham. However, Bonnie Oldham and I decided beforehand that each student would come to class with a specific question relating to health that they would like to research. Students were more focused during this session as compared to the last year’s group because they had a specific task.

“Good” versus “Bad” Websites

Students were given several prints of websites during class. The goal of this exercise was to teach students that anyone can put information on the internet and there are ways to establish whether the information is valid or invalid. I asked students to establish criteria for the legitimacy of certain websites. This exercise taught students to be more careful in their use of materials found on the internet and gave them the tools to evaluate health related websites.

Peer-reviewed, Scholarly Articles

I asked students to bring in articles that they thought would be peer reviewed and scholarly. They explained in class how they found the article and how they decided that this article fulfilled the criteria for being peer reviewed and scholarly.

Improvements

I implemented the changes to the syllabus in the fall semester 2010. In comparison to the last year’s class, students greatly improved their informational literacy.

- x All students selected articles for their “Theory Article” assignment that were peer reviewed and scholarly. Their arguments for choosing the articles were valid.
- x Students had much less difficulty locating articles for their final project. While in the previous year I spent a significant amount of time during office hours sifting through stacks of papers with students to determine whether their articles were peer reviewed and scholarly, students now had evaluation tools and used them effectively and with confidence.
- x Students were able to evaluate the quality of website contents and applied the established criteria to discern whether a specific site could be used to support their arguments. As opposed to last year’s group, these students stayed away from inappropriate sites.

Course Information Literacy Outcomes

- x Students improved their research abilities overall
- x Students showed improvement in navigating the library’s research resources
- x Students selected appropriate articles for each assignment
- x Students applied their knowledge to evaluate their sources more carefully